

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP  
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)  
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)  
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90004  
Telephone: (213) 252-8008  
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009  
scalequalaccess@yahoo.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**KEVIN COX**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KEVIN COX,  
Plaintiff.

vs.

TOasted and Roasted LLC; and  
DOES 1 to 10,  
Defendants.

**Case No.:**

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR  
VIOLATION OF:**

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
  2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, CAL CIV. CODE §§ 51 - 52 et seq.;
  3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED PERSONS ACT, CAL CIV. CODE §54 et seq.
  4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.
  5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff KEVIN COX (“Plaintiff”) complains of Defendants TOASTED AND ROASTED LLC; and DOES 1 to 10 (“Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

//

## PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability with substantial limitation in his ability to walk. Plaintiff suffers from complete paraplegia due to a spinal cord injury and requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners, business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a café (“Business”) located at or about 7311 Melrose Ave., Los Angeles, California.

3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 to 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and 1333 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et seq.*)

7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action, arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law, including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1, 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County, California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10. In or about December of 2022, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11. The Business is a café business establishment, open to the public, and is a place of public accommodation and affects commerce through its operation. Defendants provide restrooms for customers.

12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business included, but were not limited to, the following:

a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal standard for the restroom. The restroom was not accessible and violated various American with Disability Act Accessibility Guideline (“ADAAG”) requirements, including but not limited to:

- (i) door lock;
- (ii) toilet paper dispenser; and
- (iii) mirror

14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the Business and caused his difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and patronize

1 the Business, however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because his  
2 knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are removed.

3       15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that  
4 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.  
5 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-  
6 Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008).

7       16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants  
8 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal  
9 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

10        17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion  
11 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to  
12 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business  
13 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24  
14 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the  
15 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **VIOULATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

18       18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20        19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual  
21 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of  
22 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of  
23 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place  
24 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

25 || 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

26           a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or  
27                   procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such  
28                   goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations

1 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that  
2 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of  
3 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or  
4 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

- 5 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no  
6 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or  
7 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the  
8 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can  
9 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the  
10 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
11 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42  
12 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 13 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers  
14 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation  
15 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an  
16 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that  
17 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail  
18 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where  
19 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 20 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum  
21 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily  
22 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including  
23 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum  
24 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the  
25 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered  
26 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with  
27 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the  
28 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered

area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

21. Handles, pulls, latches, locks, and other operating devices on accessible doors shall have a shape that is easy to grasp with one hand and does not require tight grasping, tight pinching, or twisting of the wrist to operate. Lever-operated mechanisms, push-type mechanisms, and U-shaped handles are acceptable designs. When sliding doors are fully open, operating hardware shall be exposed and usable from both sides. Hardware required for accessible door passage shall be mounted no higher than 48 in (1220 mm) above finished floor. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.13.9.

22. Here, Defendants failed to provide accessible handle and locks on the restroom door. The door consisted of a latch lock that was difficult to grasp and operate without a proper handle.

23. Toilet paper dispensers shall comply with 309.4 and shall be 7 inches (180 mm) minimum and 9 inches (230 mm) maximum in front of the water closet measured to the centerline of the dispenser. The outlet of the dispenser shall be 15 inches (380 mm) minimum and 48 inches (1220 mm) maximum above the finish floor and shall not be located behind grab bars. Dispensers shall not be of a type that controls delivery or that does not allow continuous paper flow. 2010 ADA Standards § 604.7.

24. Here, Defendants failed to install a toilet paper dispenser in compliance with the Standards as the one provided was installed less than 7 inches from the toilet.

25. Mirrors shall be mounted with the bottom edge of the reflecting surface no higher than 40 in (1015 mm) above the finish floor. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.19.6.

26. Here, Defendants failed to properly install the mirror as it was installed too high.

27. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

1       28. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by  
2 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related  
3 regulations.

4       29. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to  
5 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be  
6 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks  
7 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable  
8 by individuals with disabilities.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

11       30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13       31. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this  
14 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,  
15 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual  
16 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full  
17 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business  
18 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

19       32. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,  
20 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 515, or 51.6, is liable  
21 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be  
22 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the  
23 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any  
24 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any  
25 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

33. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any  
individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)  
shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

34. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.

35. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

## **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

37. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics, and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles, railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places, places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

38. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no

1 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be  
2 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights  
3 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

4       39. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an  
5 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also  
6 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit  
7 the access of any person in violation of that act.

8       40. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled  
10 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have  
11 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

12       41. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to  
13 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for  
14 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

17       42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
18 paragraphs in this complaint.

19       43. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of  
20 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each  
21 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §  
22 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the  
23 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

24       44. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure  
25 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds  
26 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of  
27 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also  
28 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or

1 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for  
2 persons with disabilities.

3       45. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be  
4 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods  
5 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who  
6 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).  
7 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct  
8 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an  
9 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not  
10 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

## FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## NEGLIGENCE

13       46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
14 paragraphs in this complaint.

15       47. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil  
16 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities  
17 to the Plaintiff.

18       48. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,  
19 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff  
has suffered damages.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against  
24 Defendants as follows:

25       1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply  
26 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

27       2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory  
28 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;

4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;

5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

# **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: March 14, 2023

## SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim  
Jason J. Kim, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff